

**Deficiency of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, an enzyme required for ascorbic acid synthesis, reduces tomato fruit yield.**

Matías L Alegre<sup>1\*</sup>, Charlotte Steelheart<sup>1\*</sup>, Pierre Baldet<sup>2</sup>, Christophe Rothan<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Just<sup>2</sup>, Yoshihiro Okabe<sup>3,4</sup>, Hiroshi Ezura<sup>3,4</sup>, Nicholas Smirnoff<sup>5</sup>, Gustavo E Gergoff Grozeff<sup>1</sup>, Carlos G Bartoli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INFIVE, Facultades de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales y Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de La Plata-CCT CONICET La Plata, Argentina.

<sup>2</sup> Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Université de Bordeaux, UMR 1332 Biologie du Fruit et Pathologie, F-33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France.

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572 Japan.

<sup>4</sup> Tsukuba Plant Innovation Research Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572 Japan.

<sup>5</sup> Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK.

\*Equally contributed to this work.

**Corresponding author:**

Carlos G Bartoli

e-mail: [carlos.bartoli@agro.unlp.edu.ar](mailto:carlos.bartoli@agro.unlp.edu.ar)

Telephone number: 0054 221 4233698

## **Main conclusion:**

Reduced GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression and deficiency of ascorbic acid content lead to decreased fruit set and yield in tomato plants.

## **Author Contribution Statement**

MA, MCS, GEGG, PB, DJ, OY, EH carried out the experiments and made the statistical analysis of the data. PB, CR, NS and CGB wrote de manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

## **Abstract**

GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase (GGP) catalyzes the first step committed to ascorbic acid synthesis. The participation of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase and ascorbate in tomato fruit production and quality was studied in this work using two *S/GGP1* deficient EMS Micro-Tom mutants. The *S/GGP1* mutants display decreased concentrations of ascorbate in roots, leaves, flowers and fruit. The initiation of anthesis is delayed in *ggp1* plants but the number of flowers is similar to wild type. The number of fruits is reduced in *ggp1* mutants with an increased individual weight. However, the whole fruit biomass accumulation is reduced in both mutant lines. Fruits of the *ggp1* plants produce more ethylene and show higher firmness and soluble solids content than the wild type after the breaker stage. Leaf CO<sub>2</sub> uptake decreases about 50 % in both *ggp1* mutants at saturating light conditions; however, O<sub>2</sub> production in an enriched CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere is only 19 % higher in wild type leaves. Leaf conductance that is largely reduced in both mutants may be the main limitation for photosynthesis. Sink-source assays and hormone concentration were measured to determine restrictions to fruit yield. Manipulation of leaf area/fruit number relationship demonstrates that the number of fruits and not the provision of photoassimilates from the source restricts biomass accumulation in the *ggp1* lines. The lower gibberellins concentration measured in the flowers would contribute to the lower fruit set, thus impacting in tomato yield. Taken as a whole these results demonstrate that ascorbate biosynthetic pathway critically participates in tomato development and fruit production.

**Keywords:** antioxidant, ascorbate, fruit, GGP, ripening, tomato, yield.

## Introduction

Ascorbate is one of the most abundant compounds in plants and there is great interest in its multiple functions as an antioxidant and enzyme cofactor (Foyer and Noctor 2011; Smirnov 2018). It is synthesized *via* GDP-mannose and GDP-L-galactose and the first enzyme in this pathway considered to be specific to ascorbate synthesis is GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase (Dowdle et al. 2007; Laing et al. 2007, Linster et al. 2007). It is encoded by paralogues in various species, including arabidopsis (*VTC2* and *VTC5*). Double *vtc2 vtc5* mutants which are unable to make ascorbate are not viable but can be rescued by ascorbate supplementation (Dowdle et al. 2007; Lim et al. 2016). A range of other *vtc* mutants and transgenic plants in different parts of the ascorbate biosynthesis pathway with 10-20% of wild type ascorbate concentrations grow relatively normally but exhibit various subtle developmental changes, increased sensitivity to environmental stresses and increased basal resistance to pathogens (Pavet et al. 2005; Barth et al. 2006; Senn et al. 2016; Caviglia et al. 2018; Plumb et al. 2018). Therefore, it is apparent that relatively severe decreases in ascorbate still enable its essential functions while higher concentrations must be assumed to be beneficial. Notably, high light intensity increases ascorbate concentration in leaves, associated with its role in removal of hydrogen peroxide and in photoprotection (Asada 1999; Bartoli et al. 2006). GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression is strongly controlled by light and repressed by high ascorbate in part *via* a conserved upstream open reading frame (uORF) in the 5'-UTR. This, along with over-expression experiments, strongly supports its role in controlling ascorbate biosynthesis (Dowdle et al. 2007; Gao et al. 2011; Yoshimura et al. 2014; Laing et al. 2015; Macknight et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018).

Tomatoes are of interest as a source of ascorbate in the diet and control of its synthesis and functions have been investigated by altering expression of various biosynthesis genes (Alhaghdow et al. 2007; Gilbert et al. 2009; Gilbert et al. 2016). The availability of tomato plants with GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase deficiency enables the role of this enzyme and ascorbate in fruit production and quality (Baldet et al. 2013). Two *GGP* genes encode GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase in tomato with complementary function, and *SIGGP1* is about thousand time more expressed than *SIGGP2* (Massot et al., 2012). The *Slggp1* mutant, although expressing *GGP2*, had low ascorbate

concentration in its leaves. When this *Slggp1* mutant was submitted to high irradiance conditions chlorophyll bleaching was observed (Baldet et al. 2013). In addition, transformed tomato with decreased GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression display increased damage when exposed to chilling (Wang et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2017). These results provide evidence for the increased susceptibility of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase deficient tomato plants to stress. However, studies focused in modifications at the level of the fruit have not been done yet. The work focuses on the effects of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression and associated ascorbate deficiency on tomato fruit yield and quality.

## Material and Methods

The experiments were carried out with *Solanum lycopersicum* L cv Micro-Tom plants with two lines deficient in expression of the *GGP1* gene encoding GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase. The two EMS mutant Micro-Tom lines used here, GGP-5261 and GGP-49C12, were respectively from the NBRP-Tomato population (Tsukuba-Japan) and TILLING-Tomato collection (Bordeaux-France). They are truncation and splice junction mutants respectively (Baldet et al. 2013). Plants were grown hydroponically in an air-conditioned greenhouse during spring and summer seasons under an irradiance of 700  $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  at midday and temperatures average of  $25 \pm 2$  and  $20 \pm 3$  °C during the day and night, respectively. The final harvest was taken when half mutant plants were bearing the first fully red ripe fruit. Each experiment included 10 plants of each genotype and the harvest was taken when half mutant plants were bearing the first fully red ripe fruit.

Exogenous treatment of ascorbic acid was initiated when seedlings were twenty days-old and finished after the development of the fourth inflorescence. Each plant received 1 mL of 20 mM ascorbic acid solution (including 0.01% tween 20 as a surfactant) wetting all above ground organs (Mainly in the adaxial side of the leaves). The treatment was repeated four times a week.

## Sink-source experiment

A sink-source experiment was performed leaving only 4 inflorescences on each plant. The treatments consisted of plants with one (1F) or two (2F) tomatoes per inflorescence, two levels of leaf pruning (–L and =L, for 50 and 75 % of leaf area removal, respectively) and a control without organ removal (leaving only 4 inflorescences). Three independent experiments were carried out including at least five plants per treatment for each genotype (i.e. 75 plants for each experiment). Measurements were made when half mutant plants got at least one red fruit.

## Ascorbate determination

Ascorbate was determined with a HPLC system (Shimadzu LC-10Atpv solvent delivery module and Shimadzu UV-Vis SPD-10Avp detector) as previously described

(Bartoli et al. 2006). Root, leaf, flower and fruit tissues were ground in 6 % (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid, centrifuged at 13000xg for 5 min and supernatants used for the measurements. Total AA was determined after the treatment of an aliquot with 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Oxidized AA was calculated as the difference between samples with or without DTT.

### Photosynthesis measurements

CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation was measured in fully developed leaves with an infrared gas analyzer (PLC 6, Cirrus-2 PPSystems) at saturating irradiance (1200  $\mu\text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $A_{\text{max}}$ ). In addition, photosynthesis was measured as O<sub>2</sub> evolution under saturating irradiance and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $P_{\text{pot}}$ ). Leaf discs were placed in a gas tight chamber equipped with a Clark type electrode (Hansatech, UK). Saturating CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere was generated including a mate imbibed with 1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Walker 1987). Photosynthetic electron transport rate was determined with a modulated chlorophyll fluorescence system (FMS-2, Hansatech Instruments Ltd., Norfolk, UK) and calculated according to Genty et al. (1989). Chlorophyll fluorescence quenching analysis was carried out with a CF Imager (Technologica Ltd., Colchester, UK) as described by Lim et al. (2016) and the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters calculated according to Baker (2008). Leaf temperature was measured with a thermographic camera (FLUKE Ti 400) with an emissivity of 0.95. Measurements were taken to well watered plants exposed at 700  $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  during midday inside the greenhouse.

### Ripening parameters

Fruit ethylene production was measured with a gas chromatograph system (Konik, KNK-3000-HGRC) including an alumina column and a flame ionization detector as previously reported (Bartoli et al. 1996). Firmness was measured in detached tomato fruit with a texture analyzer (TA.XT.PLUS, Micro Systems TM Goldalming, Surrey, UK) using a 2.5 mm diameter flat probe. The measurements were obtained by fruit deformation for a distance of 0.5 mm at 0.25 mm s<sup>-1</sup> and 5.9 g trigger force. The maximum force was recorded and results expressed in force g. Total soluble solids were measured as previously described (Gergoff et al. 2016).

## **Hormone determination**

Plant hormones were measured in whole flower tissues sampled at anthesis and collected from several plants of each independent experiment. About 100 mg of lyophilized tissues were added with 1% (v/v) AcH (40mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and <sup>2</sup>H<sub>5</sub>-indoleacetic acid (IAA), <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>-gibberellin (GA), <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>-GA4, <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>-GA8, <sup>2</sup>H<sub>5</sub>-zeatin and <sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>-ABA (OlChemIm Ltd., Olomouc, Czech Republic) as internal standards. The aqueous solution was partitioned 3 times with ethyl acetate at pH 3. Organic fractions were combined, evaporated and then resuspended in methanol for hormone determination by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry with electrospray ionization (Waters Corp., New York, NY, USA). An Alliance 2695 (Separation Module, Waters, USA) quaternary pump equipped with a Restek C18 column (2.1 x 100mm) (Restek, USA) was used to analyze the samples. A binary solvent system used for elution consisted of 0.2% (v/v) acetic acid in H<sub>2</sub>O and methanol. MS/MS assays were done with a Micromass Quattro Ultima TM mass spectrometer (Micromass, Manchester City, UK) as described by Masciarelli et al. (2014).

## Results

### Growth, development and photosynthesis in GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants.

The two GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants had 34-50% of wild type ascorbate in their leaves, roots, flowers and fruit (Fig. 1a-d). Ascorbate concentration was lower in roots and the proportion of DHA was much higher than in leaves, flowers and fruit. Exogenous ascorbate increased its concentration in leaves of the mutants by 66 to 79 % but not in wild type plants (Table 1).

The vegetative biomass and leaf area at harvest were similar in all genotypes (Table 2). However, the mutants both allocated less biomass to roots (15% compared to 23% in wild type) and more to stems (20% compared to 13% in wild type). Therefore, the mutants were visibly different since they had larger internodes (Supplementary Fig. S1). The fruit fresh weight per plant was decreased in both mutants but the individual fruit weight was increased (Table 2). The mutants had a similar number of flowers but decreased fruit setting, and consequently, lower number of tomatoes than those of the wild type. Exogenous ascorbate supplementation increased fruit setting in both mutants but not in wild type plants (Table 1). In addition, anthesis was delayed in both mutants. Impairment of fruit setting may be the consequence of altered hormone concentrations. Consequently, the concentrations of various hormones in flowers at anthesis were measured (Table 3). All three gibberellins measured were decreased in the mutants but indole acetic acid (IAA), zeatin and ABA showed no differences.

The CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and electron transport rates (ETR) of the mutants were 50 and 90 %, of wild type respectively (Fig. 2a, b). In addition, photosynthetic O<sub>2</sub> production under a saturating CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, was 19 % higher in wild type than in the mutants (Fig 2c). Consistent with the small effect on ETR and O<sub>2</sub> assimilation under saturating CO<sub>2</sub>, there was no significant effect on photochemical quenching (qP), PSII maximum operating quantum efficiency (Fv'/Fm') and PSII operating efficiency (Fq'/Fm';  $\phi$ PSII) (Fig. 3). Non photochemical quenching (NPQ), which is impaired in ascorbate deficient arabidopsis mutants (Müller-Moule et al. 2002) was not affected in the mutants. Stomatal conductance was 60 % higher in wild type than in the mutants



(Fig 2d). Consequently, leaf temperature measured by infra-red thermal imaging was 1.8 to 2.5°C higher in the mutants (Supplementary Fig. S2).

### **The effect of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutation on fruit quality**

Fruit firmness decreased along ripening but both GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants kept higher firmness than wild type at the red stage (Fig. 4a). Ethylene production was higher in the mutant lines than wild type fruit at the breaker and red stages (Fig. 4b). Soluble solids content (Brix°) increased during fruit ripening and was also higher in mutants compared with wild type at breaker stage (Fig. 4c). Other ripening parameters such as pH and titratable acidity show no differences between genotypes (Data not shown).

### **The effect of source-sink manipulation on fruit yield characteristics**

To investigate the relative importance of source or sink limitations on fruit yield various fruit or leaf pruning treatments were performed (Table 4). Fruit production was not modified in wild type or mutant plants after leaf removal. However, when plants were limited to two tomatoes per inflorescence (i.e. eight tomatoes per plant) the mutants kept their fruit weight per plant as in control treatment but it drastically decreased in all genotypes when only one fruit per inflorescence is maintained. For an easier interpretation of these results a principal component analysis is shown in Figure 5. It shows a contrasting relationship between different traits such as fruit number and yield *versus* individual fruit fresh weight. Component 1 distinguishes treatments independently of the genotypes and component 2 the wild type from mutants (more markedly for fruit removal treatment).

## Discussion

Arabidopsis *vtc2* mutants, which are knockouts of one of the two genes encoding GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, have ~20% of wild type ascorbate (Dowdle et al. 2007; Barth et al. 2010) and have small decreases in rosette leaf area and biomass, the extent most likely being sensitive to growth conditions (Lim et al. 2016; Caviglia et al. 2018; Plumb et al. 2018). Here, the tomato GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants, which have 34-50% of wild type ascorbate in all organs, are similarly unaffected in total vegetative biomass but do have reduced allocation to roots. The reason for the effect on root growth is not evident but could be associated with re-allocation to shoots to compensate for decreased CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation. Effects of the GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutations are more evident in reproductive development, the mutants being slower to anthesis and having markedly decreased fruit set and number. However, early flowering has been reported in various ascorbate deficient Arabidopsis mutants (Kotchoni et al. 2009). Whatever the delaying or accelerating effect, these results together suggest that the plant ascorbic acid concentration is linked to the regulation of time to flowering. This effect may be a species- but also an environmental-dependent phenomenon. The decrease in fruit number is associated with increased fruit size in the mutants. Larger fruit size is most easily explained by decreased competition between fruits for assimilate, since the fruit removal experiment increased individual fruit weight in all genotypes. Critically, ascorbate supplementation increased fruit set in the mutants, suggesting that ascorbate is important for this process. This observation also suggests that assimilate limitation (Ruan et al. 2012) may be only partially responsible for reduced fruit set in this case. To further investigate the cause of reduced fruit set, the effect of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutations on flower hormones was investigated. GA1, GA4 and GA8 were decreased in the mutants. The chemical inhibition of gibberellin synthesis in tomato reduces fruit setting and this effect is reversed by exogenous application of the hormone (Serrani et al. 2007). Therefore, the low GA concentration in the flowers of the mutants could contribute to low fruit setting. Ethylene treatment decreases fruit set in tomato, possibly by inhibiting GA synthesis (Shinozaki et al. 2015). Arabidopsis *vtc2* mutants have increased ethylene production

(Caviglia et al. 2018). Therefore, if ascorbate deficiency also increases ethylene in tomato, it is possible that this could be the cause of decreased GA and fruit setting.

The large decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in the mutants was not matched by a large decrease in biomass, although overall fruit yield was significantly less (~72% of wild type). As noted above this could be partly attributed to lower fruit set. Measuring photosynthesis under high CO<sub>2</sub> and by chlorophyll fluorescence showed that the mutations do not limit photosynthetic capacity, but the limitation is most likely caused by partial stomatal closure. Since the stomatal conductance was measured around midday, it is possible that over the course of the light period, stomata are more open in morning/evening, thus minimizing an overall effect on assimilation. Furthermore, decreasing GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression in tomato in another study also decreased ascorbate content by 50% while having no effect on CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation under non-stressed conditions (Wang et al. 2013). Similarly, *Arabidopsis vtc2-1* has a similar CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate to wild type (Senn et al. 2016). Therefore, studies to date show that ascorbate deficiency (at least to 20% of wild type), has minimal effect on photosynthesis and vegetative biomass.

The source-sink experiment demonstrates that the number of fruit is crucial to determine fruit yield in tomato and that the higher abortion of fruit observed in *ggp1* mutants does not seem to be the consequence of an insufficient provision of photo-assimilates by the leaves. Similarly, Tanaka and Fujita (1974) demonstrate in assays partially removing fruit and leaves that source is not limiting yield in tomato and also that fruit size has a limited flexibility. These authors conclude that unraveling the processes establishing fruit number is an important task. In this context, the present work, using GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase deficient mutants and especially exogenous ascorbic acid supplementation, demonstrates that ascorbic acid concentration is an important factor in establishing fruit number and consequently for the improvement of tomato yield.

Ascorbic acid constitutes an important nutritional attribute of fruit (Lee and Kader 2000). However, the impact of decreased ascorbate concentration on other fruit characteristics has scarcely been addressed. Previous reports on tomato mutants in

enzymes earlier in the mannose/L-galactose biosynthetic pathway (GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase and GDP-mannose-3',5-epimerase) show effects on fruit development and quality (Gilbert et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2013; Gilbert et al. 2016) but these may be caused by effects on cell wall composition as well as ascorbate deficiency itself. Decreased GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase activity in the current experiments is likely to affect ascorbate synthesis more specifically. Among effects observed here in the fruit of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants is an increase in ethylene production. *Vtc2* arabidopsis plants also produce more ethylene (Caviglia et al. 2018). Ethylene production is associated with a stimulation of cell wall degrading enzymes during fruit ripening (Osorio et al. 2011). However, the results observed in GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase mutants suggest that other processes prevail, leading to increased fruit firmness. For example, changes in cuticles may also delay fruit softening (Saladié et al. 2007). The modifications observed in GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase tomato lines (including increased soluble solids) suggest that ascorbate influences some aspects of fruit quality.

## Conclusions

Tomato mutants in the ascorbate biosynthesis the *GGPI* isoform of GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase contain 34-50 % of wild type ascorbate. The results suggest that this decrease in GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase expression and ascorbate concentration influence tomato fruit set, possibly *via* decreased GA concentration, final fruit size and some aspects of quality. A higher flower abortion is directly caused by ascorbate deficiency. Fruit size increases in compensation, but not sufficiently to prevent a decrease in total fruit biomass. Supply of assimilates for biomass and fruit production is unlikely to be a major limiting factor.

## Acknowledgments

We are really grateful to Dr Eduardo Tambussi for his valuable discussion of the results, Dr Gabriela Cano for her technical assistance and Dr Diego Fanello for the statistical analysis. GGG and CGB are researchers at the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina). MA and CS are fellows at CONICET and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Técnica (ANPCyT, Argentina), respectively. This work was supported by grants PICT 2015-0103 (ANPCyT) and A258 and A322 (Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina).

## Literature

Alhagdow M, Mounet F, Gilbert L, Nunes-Nesi A, Garcia V, Just D, Petit J, Beauvoit B, Fernie AR, Rothan C, Baldet P (2007) Silencing of the mitochondrial ascorbate synthesizing enzyme L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase affects plant and fruit development in tomato. *Plant Physiol* 145:1408-1422.

Asada K (1999) The water-water cycle in chloroplasts: Scavenging of active oxygens and dissipation of excess photons. *Annu Rev Plant Phys* 50:601-39.

Baker NR (2008) Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe for photosynthesis *in vivo*. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 59: 89-113.

Baldet P, Bres C, Okabe Y, Mauxion JP, Just D, Bournonville C, Ferrand C, Mori K, Ezure H, Rothan C (2013) Investigating the role of vitamin C in tomato through TILLING identification of ascorbate-deficient tomato mutants. *Plant Biotechnol* 30:308-314.

Barth C, De Tullio M, Conklin PL (2006) The role of ascorbic acid in the control of flowering time and the onset of senescence. *J Exp Bot* 57:1657-1665.

Barth C, Gouzd ZA, Steele HP, Imperio RM (2010) A mutation in GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase causes condition hypersensitivity to ammonium, resulting in *Arabidopsis* root growth inhibition, altered metabolism, and hormone homeostasis. *J Exp Bot* 61:379–394.

Bartoli CG, Simontachi M, Montaldi E, Puntarulo S (1996) Oxidative stress, antioxidant capacity and ethylene production during ageing of cut carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) petals. *J Exp Bot* 47:595-601.

Bartoli CG, Yu J, Gómez F, Fernández L, McIntosh L, Foyer CH (2006) Inter-relationships between light and respiration in the control of ascorbic acid synthesis and accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. *J Exp Bot* 57:1621-1631.

Caviglia M, Mazorra Morales LM, Concellón A, Gergoff Grozeff GE, Wilson M, Foyer CH, Bartoli CG (2018) Ethylene signaling triggered by low concentrations of ascorbic acid regulates biomass accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Free Radical Bio Med* 122:130-136.

Dowdle J, Ishikawa T, Gatzek S, Rolinski S, Smirnoff N (2007) Two genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* encoding GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase are required for ascorbate biosynthesis and seedling viability. *Plant J* 52:673-689.

Foyer CH, Noctor CH (2011) Ascorbate and glutathione: The heart of the redox hub. *Plant Physiol* 155:2-18.

Gao Y, Badejo AA, Shibata H, Sawa Y, Maruta T, Shigeoka S, Page M, Smirnoff N, Ishikawa T (2011) Expression analysis of the VTC2 and VTC5 genes encoding GDP-L-Galactose Phosphorylase, an enzyme involved in ascorbate biosynthesis, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 75:1783-1788.

Genty B, Briantais JM, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 990:87-92.

Gergoff Grozeff GE, Senn ME, Alegre M, Chaves AR, Bartoli CG (2016) Nocturnal low irradiance pulses improve fruit yield and lycopene concentration in tomato. *Sci Hortic-Amsterdam* 203:47-52.

Gilbert L, Alhagdow M, Nunes-Nesi A, Quemener B, Guillon F, Bouchet B, Faurobert M, Gouble B, Page D, Garcia V, Petit J, Stevens R, Causse M, Fernie AR, Lahaye M, Rothan C and Baldet P. (2009) GDP-D-mannose epimerase (GME) plays a key role at the intersection of ascorbate and non cellulosic cell wall biosynthesis in tomato. *Plant J* 60, 499-508.

Gilbert L, Dumont M, Ferrand C, Bournonville C, Monier A, Jorly J, Lemaire-Chamley M, Mori K, Atienza I, Hernould M, Stevens R, Lehner A, Mollet JC, Rothan C, Lerouge P, Baldet P (2016) Two tomato GDP-D-mannose epimerase isoforms involved in ascorbate biosynthesis play specific roles in cell wall biosynthesis and development. *J Exp Bot* 67:4767-4777.

Kotchoni SO, Larrimore KE, Mukherjee M, Kempinski CF, Barth C (2009) Alterations in the endogenous ascorbic acid content affect flowering time in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 149, 803–815.

Laing WA, Wright MA, Cooney J, Bulley SM (2007) The missing step of the L-galactose pathway of ascorbate biosynthesis in plants, an L-galactose guanyltransferase, increases leaf ascorbate content. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 9534-9539.

Laing WA, Martinez-Sanchez M, Wright MA, Bulley SM, Brewster D, Dare AP, Rassam M, Wang D, Storey R, Macknight RC, Hellens RP (2015) An Upstream Open Reading Frame Is Essential for Feedback Regulation of Ascorbate Biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 27:772-786.

Lee SK, Kader AA (2000) Preharvest and postharvest factors influencing vitamin C content of horticultural crops. *Postharvest Biol Tech* 20:207-220.

Li T, Yang X, Yu Y, Si X, Zhai X, Zhang H, Dong W, Gao C, Xu C (2018) Domestication of wild tomato is accelerated by genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* 36:1160-1163.

Lim B, Smirnoff N, Cobbett CS, Golz JF (2016) Ascorbate-deficient *vtc2* mutants in *Arabidopsis* do not exhibit decreased growth. *Front Plant Sci* 7:1025-1030.

Linster C, Gomez TA, Christensen KC, Adler LN, Young BD, Brenner C, Clarke SG (2007) *Arabidopsis* VTC2 encodes a GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase, the last unknown enzyme in the Smirnoff-Wheeler pathway of ascorbic acid in plants. *J Biol Chem* 282:18879-18885.

Macknight RC, Laing WA, Bulley SM, Broad RC, Johnson AAT, Hellens RP (2017) Increasing ascorbate levels in crops to enhance human nutrition and plant abiotic stress tolerance. *Curr Opin Biotech* 44:153–160.

Masciarelli O, Llanes A, Luna V (2014) A new PGPR co-inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* enhances soybean nodulation. *Microbiol Res* 169:609-615.

Massot C, Stevens R, Génard M, Longuenesse JJ, Gautier H (2012) Light affects ascorbate content and ascorbate-related gene expression in tomato leaves more than in fruits. *Planta* 235:153-163.

Müller-Moulé P, Conklin PL, Niyogi KK (2002) Ascorbate deficiency can limit violaxanthin de-epoxidase activity in vivo. *Plant Physiol* 128:970–977.

Osorio S, Alba R, Damasceno CMB, Lopez-Casado G, Lohse M, Zanor MI, Tohge T, Usadel B, Rose JKC, Fei Z, Giovannoni JJ, Fernie AR (2011) Systems biology of tomato fruit development: Combined transcript, protein, and metabolite analysis of tomato transcription factor (*nor*, *rin*) and ethylene receptor (*Nr*) mutants reveals novel regulatory interactions. *Plant Physiol* 157:405-425.

Pavet V, Olmos E, Kiddle G, Mowla S, Kumar S, Antoniow J, Alvarez ME, Foyer CH (2005) Ascorbic acid deficiency activates cell death and disease resistance responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 139:1291-1303.

Plumb W, Townsend AJ, Rasool B, Alomrani S, Razak N, Karpinska B, Ruban AV, Foyer CH (2018) Ascorbate-mediated regulation of growth, photoprotection, and photoinhibition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Exp Bot* 69:2823-2835.



Ruan Y-L, Patrick JW, Bouzayen M, Osorio S, Fernie AR (2012) Molecular regulation of seed and fruit set. *Trends Plant Sci* 17:656-665.

Saladié M, Matas AJ, Isaacson T, Jenks MA, Goodwin SM, Niklas KJ, Xiaolin R, Labavitch JM, Shackel KA, Fernie AR, Lytovchenko A, O'Neill MA, Watkins CB, Rose JKC (2007) A reevaluation of the key factors that influence tomato fruit softening and integrity. *Plant Physiol* 144:1012-1028.

Serrani JC, Sanjuán R, Ruiz-Rivero O, Fos M, García-Martínez JL (2007) Gibberellin regulation of fruit set and growth in tomato. *Plant Physiol* 145:246-257.

Senn ME, Gergoff Grozeff GE, Alegre ML, Barrile F, De Tullio MC, Bartoli CG (2016) Effect of mitochondrial ascorbic acid synthesis on photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 104:29-35.

Shinozaki Y, Hao S, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Ozeki-Iida Y, Zheng Y, Fei Z, Zhong S, Giovannoni JJ, Rose JKC, Okabe Y, Heta Y, Ezura H, Ariizumi T (2015) Ethylene suppresses tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit set through modification of gibberellin metabolism. *Plant J* 83:237-251.

Smirnoff N (2018) Ascorbic acid metabolism and functions: A comparison of plants and mammals. *Free Radical Bio Med* 122:116-129.

Tanaka A, Fujita K (1974). Nutrio-physiological studies on the tomato plant IV. Source-sink relationship and structure of the source-sink unit. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 20:305-315.

Walker D (1987) The use of the oxygen electrode and fluorescence probes in simple measurements of photosynthesis. Oxygraphics Limited, University of Sheffield Print Unit, Sheffield, UK pp203.

Wang L-Y, Li D, Deng Y-S, Lv W, Meng Q-W (2013) Antisense-mediated depletion of tomato GDP-L-galactosephosphorylase increases susceptibility to chilling stress. *J Plant Physiol* 170:303-314.

Yang D-Y, Li M, Ma N-N, Yang X-H, Meng Q-W (2017) Tomato *SIGGP-LIKE* gene participates in plant responses to chilling stress and pathogenic infection. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 112:218-226.

Yoshimura K, Nakane T, Kume S, Shiomi Y, Maruta T, Ishikawa T, Shigeoka S (2014) Transient expression analysis revealed the importance of VTC2 expression level

465 in light/dark regulation of ascorbate biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Biosci Biotech Bioch  
466 78:60-66.

467 Zhang C, Ouyang B, Yang C, Zhang X, Liu H, ZhangY, Zhang J, Li H, Ye Z  
468 (2013) Reducing AsA leads to leaf lesion and defence response in knock-down of the  
469 AsA biosynthetic enzyme GDP-D-Mannose pyrophosphorylase gene in tomato plant.  
470 PLoS ONE 8(4): e61987.

471

## Legends to figures

Figure 1. Ascorbate concentrations in leaves (A), roots (B), flowers (C) and fruit (D) of *ggp1* mutants and wild type plants grown under greenhouse conditions. The values were obtained from at least three independent experiments and expressed as the means  $\pm$  S.D. (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ). Lower and upper case letters indicate statistical differences between genotypes and stages, respectively.

Figure 2. Leaf gas exchange measurements in *ggp1* mutants and wild type plants as CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (A), ETR (B), O<sub>2</sub> production at saturating CO<sub>2</sub> (C) and stomatal conductance (D) grown under greenhouse conditions. The values were obtained from at least three independent experiments and expressed as the means  $\pm$  S.D. (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ). Lower and upper case letters indicate statistical differences between genotypes.

Figure 3. Photosynthetic characteristics of *ggp1* mutants and wild type plants measured by chlorophyll fluorescence imaging. The plants were grown in 16 h day, 21C day (150  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )/19C dark, 55 RH day/50 RH dark for 4 weeks before transferring to an irradiance of 500  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for 4 days. NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; qP, photosystem II efficiency factor; Fq'/Fm', PSII operating efficiency; Fv'/Fm', PSII maximum efficiency. The values were obtained from three independent experiments and expressed as the means  $\pm$  S.D.

Figure 4. Firmness (A), ethylene production (B) and soluble solids content (C) in fruit taken from *ggp1* mutants and wild type plants grown under greenhouse conditions at green mature, breaker and red stages. The values were obtained from at least three independent experiments and expressed as the means  $\pm$  S.D. (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ). Lower and upper case letters indicate statistical differences between genotypes and stages, respectively.

Figure 5. Bi-plot of the first and second principal components for tomato development traits. Each parameter is represented by dark continuous lines and genotype-treatment combination by symbols ( $\diamond$ ). The treatments consisted in control plants that were

503 cultivated leaving only 4 trusses (C); limited number of fruit where each plant kept 4 or  
504 8 fruits (1F and 2F respectively); and two levels of defoliation (-L and =L).

505 Table 1. Effect of 20 mM ascorbate supplement in ascorbate content and fruit setting in  
 506 tomato wt and *GGP* deficient plants. One mL of ascorbate solution was sprayed on each plant  
 507 four times a week.

Treatment	Leaf ascorbate content	Fruit setting
	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{FW}$	%
Wt	$10.0 \pm 0.6$	$62.7 \pm 10.3$
Wt + AA	$11.3 \pm 0.33$	$57.3 \pm 10.9$
GGP 5261	$5.0 \pm 0.6$	$25.0 \pm 8.6$
GGP 5261 + AA	$8.3 \pm 0.9^*$	$38.3 \pm 6.4^*$
GGP P49 C12	$4.3 \pm 0.3$	$29.7 \pm 1.2$
GGP P49 C12 + AA	$7.7 \pm 1.2^*$	$40.0 \pm 1.5^*$

508 Values are means  $\pm$  S.D. (n = 3). \* Indicates statistical differences with non-treated plants  
 509 (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ).

510

511

512 Table 2. Yield and vegetative parameters in wt and *ggpl* mutants tomato plants. Measurements were done when half mutant plants reached  
513 one mature fruit.

	Fruit yield	Individual	n° fruit	n° flowers	Fruit set	Days to	Vegetative	Leaf area	Leaf	Stem	Root
		fruit weight	per plant	per plant		anthesis	biomass		biomass	biomass	biomass
	(g FWplant <sup>-1</sup> )	(g FW)			(%)		(g FW)	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(g DW)	(g DW)	(g DW)
Wild type	109.9±15.9 <b>A</b>	2.52±1.82 <b>A</b>	40.16±9.15 <b>A</b>	50.1±10.7 <b>A</b>	74.0±8.1 <b>A</b>	53.06±4.7 <b>A</b>	58.06±15.3 <b>A</b>	853.2±165 <b>A</b>	3.5±0.90 <b>A</b>	0.7±0.12 <b>A</b>	1.23±0.32 <b>A</b>
GGP 5261	88.31±7.5 <b>B</b>	3.29±2.27 <b>B</b>	24.0±5.67 <b>B</b>	54.4±9.8 <b>A</b>	42.5±10.2 <b>B</b>	59.3±6.5 <b>B</b>	59.6±8.8 <b>A</b>	887.8±236.7 <b>A</b>	3.6±0.85 <b>A</b>	1.1±0.24 <b>B</b>	0.77±0.16 <b>B</b>
GGP P49C12	70.7±14.4 <b>B</b>	4.08±2.36 <b>C</b>	19.25±5.04 <b>B</b>	45.4±37 <b>A</b>	42.6±12.7 <b>B</b>	58.3±5.24 <b>B</b>	56.7±12.68 <b>A</b>	906.0±116.1 <b>A</b>	3.7±0.27 <b>A</b>	1.2±0.21 <b>B</b>	0.91±0.14 <b>B</b>

514 The values were obtained from three independent experiments and expressed as means ± S.D. (ANOVA, *P*<0.05). Letters indicates  
515 statistical differences between genotypes.

516

517

518 Table 3. Concentrations of several hormones in flowers of *ggp1* mutants and wild type tomato plants.  
519 Samples were taken at anthesis combining flowers from different plants.

	GA1	GA4	GA8	AIA	Zeatin	ABA
	$\mu\text{g g}^{-1}\text{DW}$					
Wt	2.85±0.15 <b>A</b>	19.5±1.9 <b>A</b>	1.59±0.08 <b>A</b>	1.13±0.07 <b>A</b>	1.26±0.08 <b>A</b>	16.2±0.6 <b>A</b>
GGP5261	1.77±0.06 <b>B</b>	9.3±0.3 <b>B</b>	0.34±0.03 <b>B</b>	1.11±0.03 <b>A</b>	1.44±0.2 <b>A</b>	16.0±1.1 <b>A</b>
GGPP49C12	2.20±0.11 <b>B</b>	7.7±0.4 <b>B</b>	0.28±0.02 <b>B</b>	1.06±0.02 <b>A</b>	1.14±0.03 <b>A</b>	18.1±1.1 <b>A</b>

520 Data are shown as means  $\pm$  S.D. from 3 independent experiments. Letters indicates statistical  
521 differences between genotypes (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ).  
522

523 Table 4. Biomass accumulation in different organs of wt and *GGPI* deficient tomato plants limiting the size of source and sink  
524 tissues. The treatments consisted in control plants that were cultivated leaving only 4 trusses (C); limited number of fruit where  
525 each plant kept 4 or 8 fruits (1F and 2F respectively); and two levels of defoliation (–L and =L). \* Flowers were cut to establish  
526 a limited number of fruits.

	Fruit yield g FWfr pl <sup>-1</sup>	Individual FW g FW fr <sup>-1</sup>	Fruit number fr pl <sup>-1</sup>	Flower number fl pl <sup>-1</sup>	Leaf g DW pl <sup>-1</sup>	Stem g DW pl <sup>-1</sup>	Root g DW pl <sup>-1</sup>	Total vegetative g DW pl <sup>-1</sup>
1F wt	18,7±3,8 <b>B</b>	3,60±0,31 <b>B</b>	4,0±0	*	2,51±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,96±0,3 <b>A</b>	1,97±0,5 <b>B</b>	6,44±1,0 <b>A</b>
2F wt	30,4±2,3 <b>C</b>	4,49±0,16 <b>B</b>	8,0±0	*	2,38±0,1 <b>A</b>	1,89±0,1 <b>A</b>	1,75±0,2 <b>B</b>	6,01±0,4 <b>A</b>
C wt	59,0±2,6 <b>A</b>	2,63±0,36 <b>A</b>	23,3±1,6 <b>A</b>	29,3±2,3 <b>A</b>	2,39±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,85±0,1 <b>AC</b>	1,65±0,2 <b>AB</b>	5,89±0,6 <b>A</b>
–L wt	59,1±2,7 <b>A</b>	2,36±0,23 <b>A</b>	21,7±0,75 <b>A</b>	29,2±0,9 <b>A</b>	1,32±0,09 <b>B</b>	1,41±0,03 <b>BC</b>	1,25±0,14 <b>AB</b>	3,97±0,2 <b>B</b>
=L wt	56,7±0,5 <b>A</b>	2,48±0,29 <b>A</b>	21,5±1,3 <b>A</b>	29,7±1,6 <b>A</b>	1,15±0,10 <b>B</b>	1,27±0,04 <b>B</b>	0,90±0,09 <b>B</b>	3,32±0,1 <b>B</b>
1F GGP 5261	20,9±2,3 <b>B</b>	4,98±1,02 <b>B</b>	4,0±0	*	1,75±0,1 <b>A</b>	1,82±0,1 <b>A</b>	0,99±0,2 <b>A</b>	4,57±0,2 <b>AB</b>
2F GGP 5261	39,1±2,30 <b>A</b>	5,47±0,41 <b>B</b>	8,0 0	*	2,03±0,26 <b>A</b>	1,73±0,23 <b>A</b>	1,09±0,15 <b>A</b>	4,85±0,6 <b>A</b>
C GGP 5261	51,8±1,7 <b>A</b>	3,75±0,22 <b>A</b>	14,7±0,8 <b>A</b>	29,3 ±4 <b>A</b>	2,05±0,1 <b>A</b>	1,41±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,0±0,1 <b>A</b>	4,6 ± 0,2 <b>AB</b>
–L GGP 5261	48,2±2,7 <b>A</b>	2,97±0,82 <b>A</b>	17,0±1,4 <b>A</b>	27,0±0,5 <b>A</b>	1,42±0,13 <b>AB</b>	1,52± 0,14 <b>A</b>	0,95±0,12 <b>A</b>	3,90±0,4 <b>AB</b>
=L GGP 5261	46,2±6,5 <b>A</b>	3,27±0,58 <b>A</b>	13,7±1,8 <b>A</b>	26,7±2,3 <b>A</b>	0,89±0,14 <b>B</b>	1,45± 0,22 <b>A</b>	0,72±0,13 <b>A</b>	3,06±0,5 <b>B</b>
1F GGP P49	26,3±2,8 <b>B</b>	5,76±0,59 <b>B</b>	4,0±0	*	1,89±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,72±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,44±0,2 <b>A</b>	5,07±0,6 <b>A</b>
2F GGP P49	43,1±5,4 <b>A</b>	6,73±0,75 <b>B</b>	8,0±0	*	1,78±0,15 <b>A</b>	1,57±0,16 <b>A</b>	1,07±0,08 <b>AB</b>	4,43±0,3 <b>AB</b>
C GGP P49	49,6±5,3 <b>A</b>	3,69±0,60 <b>A</b>	11,7±2,9 <b>A</b>	29,0±3,0 <b>A</b>	1,94±0,1 <b>A</b>	1,59±0,2 <b>A</b>	1,09±0,1 <b>AB</b>	4,65±0,4 <b>AB</b>
–L GGP P49	55,4±2,1 <b>A</b>	3,62±0,48 <b>A</b>	16,2±1,4 <b>A</b>	30,7±3,6 <b>A</b>	1,30±0,08 <b>AB</b>	1,73±0,08 <b>A</b>	1,02 0,04 <b>AB</b>	4,04±0,1 <b>AB</b>
=L GGP P49	49,2±2,7 <b>A</b>	3,70±0,57 <b>A</b>	15,4± 2,4 <b>A</b>	33,4±4,2 <b>A</b>	0,96±0,05 <b>B</b>	1,44±0,13 <b>A</b>	0,76±0,09 <b>B</b>	3,16±0,2 <b>B</b>

527 The values were obtained from three independent experiments and expressed as means ± S.D. (ANOVA,  $P<0.05$ ). Letters  
528 indicate significant differences between treatments for the same genotype.

529